

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Bot 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	O. E	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/008,980		12/06/2001	Thomas H. Baum	260-CIP-DIV	5984
25559	7590	10/28/2003		EXAMINER	
ATMI, II		752	TALBOT, BRIAN K		
7 COMMERCE DRIVE DANBURY, CT 06810				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1762	
			DATE MAILED: 10/28/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	· <u> </u>	·					
* a		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/008,980	BAUM ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Brian K Talbot	1762				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
THE - External after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro cause the application to become ABANDON	timely filed ays will be considered timely. m the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)[🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 A	<u> August 2003</u> .					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) Thi	s action is non-final.					
3)□	·						
· -	on of Claims						
	Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) <u> </u>	Claim(s) <u>1-4,7,8,13-22,25 and 26</u> is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) <u>5,6,9-12,23 and 24</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
	on Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
	3) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
ajL	1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received					
			4ina Na				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment		, 1 , 1 , 2 , 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2					
2) 🔲 Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 1762

1. The amendment filed 8/11/03 has been considered and entered. Claims 27-29 and 32 have been canceled. Claims 1-26 remain in the application.

2. In light of the amendment filed 8/11/03, the objection to the specification and the 35 USC 112 first paragraph rejection have been withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. Claims 1-4,7,8,13-22,25 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kirlin et al. (5,840,897), Vaartstra (5,763,633) or Baum et al. (5,096,737).

Kirlin et al. (5,840,897), Vaartstra (5,763,633) or Baum et al. (5,096,737) all teach composition including metal complex source reagents having ligand complexes for manufacturing iridium coatings for producing microelectronic devices such as DRAM or FRAM capacitors. A chemical vapor deposition process is utilized in an oxygen atmosphere and the metal complex is decomposed to form the metal coating.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 5,6,9-12,23 and 24 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 1762

Reasons for Allowance

5. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

The prior art of record fails to teach the specific compositions detailed in the noted claims.

Response to Amendment

6. Applicant's arguments filed 8/11/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argued that the prior art fails to teach forming an iridium containing film on a substrate in an oxidizing ambient atmosphere in a single step.

Firstly, Applicant's arguments are not commensurate in scope with the instant claims. The claims do not, as argued, require depositing and decomposition in a single step. Secondly, assuming the claims were to positively recite such a limitation, it is the Examiner's position that one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made would have had a reasonable expectation of achieving similar success regardless of whether or not the process was performed in a single or multiple steps. If applicant were to provide a showing of unexpected results regarding the criticality of a "single step" and claims were commensurate in scope with the showing, the Examiner would reconsider his position.

Art Unit: 1762

7. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian K Talbot whose telephone number is (703) 305-3775. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 6AM-3PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Shrive P Beck can be reached on (703) 308-2333. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3775.

Application/Control Number: 10/008,980

Art Unit: 1762

, Al

Page 5

Brian K Talbot Primary Examiner Art Unit 1762

BKT October 21, 2003